



Methodology:

Results are based on an online survey conducted from November 14 to November 16, 2025, among 1,501 adults in Metro Vancouver. The data has been statistically weighted according to census figures for age, gender and region in Metro Vancouver. The margin of error—which measures sample variability—is +/- 2.5 percentage points, nineteen times out of twenty.

As you may know, cities like Toronto, Montreal and Ottawa have grown through amalgamation, a process that consolidates various municipalities into one. All things considered, do you think each of the following proposals for amalgamation in Metro Vancouver is a good idea or a bad idea?

“All Metro Vancouver municipalities amalgamating into one municipality”

Very good / Good – 42%
Bad / Very bad – 42%
Not sure – 17%

Metro Vancouverites Evenly Split on “Mega-Amalgamation”

Support is higher for smaller mergers involving fewer cities, particularly those located in the Fraser Valley.

Vancouver, BC [November 27, 2025] – The creation of a “mega-city” encompassing all of Metro Vancouver’s existing municipalities is a contentious proposal, a new Research Co. poll has found.

In the online survey of a representative regional sample, 42% of Metro Vancouverites think the amalgamation of all municipalities into one is a “very good” or “good” idea, while the same proportion (42%) consider it “bad” or “very bad”.

“Residents of the City of Vancouver boast the highest level of support for the creation of an amalgamated Metro Vancouver (46%),” says Mario Canseco, President of Research Co. “The appetite for full amalgamation drops across all other regions.”

More than two-in-five residents of Surrey and White Rock (44%), the North Shore (43%) and four Fraser Valley municipalities (41%) regard the “mega-city” idea positively. The results are lower in Richmond and Delta (39%) and in Burnaby, New Westminster and the Tri-Cities (32%).

Metro Vancouverites aged 18-to-34 and aged 35-to-54 are more supportive of the creation of a “mega-city” (47% each) than their counterparts aged 55 and over (34%).

The survey also asked Metro Vancouverites about six smaller amalgamation proposals.

Seven-in-ten Metro Vancouverites (70%) think it is a “very good” or “good” idea for the Township of Langley and the City of Langley to merge into one municipality, while 62% feel the same way about a city encompassing Pitt Meadows and Maple Ridge.

Residents of these four Fraser Valley municipalities are particularly welcoming of these two amalgamation proposals, with 68% being in favour of uniting the Township of Langley and the City of Langley and 67% supporting a merger involving Pitt Meadows and Maple Ridge.

More than half of Metro Vancouverites (54%) view a possible merger of the City of Surrey and the City of White Rock in a positive light—including 57% of respondents who reside in these two cities.



Just under three-in-five Metro Vancouverites (57%) think it would be a “very good” or “good” idea to combine the City of North Vancouver, the District of North Vancouver, the District of West Vancouver, the Village of Lions Bay and Bowen Island Municipality into a single municipality.

Among residents of these five entities, support for a purported “North Shore” city stands at 47%, with a slightly higher proportion (51%) voicing opposition to the concept.

More than half of Metro Vancouverites (56%) think it would be a “very good” or “good” idea to merge the Village of Anmore, the Village of Belcarra, the City of Coquitlam, the City of Port Coquitlam and the City of Port Moody into one municipality, while fewer than half (47%) would entertain uniting the City of Burnaby and the City of New Westminster.

Residents of these municipalities are not keen on amalgamation, with 44% saying they favour uniting the Tri-Cities and the two villages and 36% supporting a union between Burnaby and New Westminster.

==30==

About Research Co.

Simply put, we are curious about what people think and the decisions they will make. Some call it public opinion, others call it polling or public affairs. We never compromise facts for profit. Our agenda is the truth.

We have a global network of partners in the qualitative, data collection and data visualization specialities.

==30==

Media contact:

Mario Canseco, President, Research Co.

[c] 778.929.0490

[e] mario.canseco@researchco.ca

==30==

